\*IOC Memorandum 700-1

2 9 JAN 1996

#### LOGISTICS

FUNCTIONAL REQUIREMENTS AUTHENTICATION BOARD (FRAB) PROCESS

Applicability. This regulation applies to all HQ, IOC elements.

<u>Decentralized printing.</u> IOC installations are authorized to locally reproduce this publication.

Suggested improvements. The proponent is the Deputy Chief of Staff for Industrial Readiness. Users are invited to send comments and suggested improvements to Commander, IOC, ATTN: AMSIO-IR, Rock Island, IL 61299-6000.

<u>Distribution</u>. Distribution is made IAW requirements submitted by IOC organizations (stocked/issued by Rock Island Arsenal, ATTN: SAI-PSP).

FOR THE COMMANDER:

Official:

RICHARD W. LANIK

Deputy Chief of Staff for Industrial Readiness

CONTENTS	<u>PARAGRAPH</u>
Purpose	2 3

1. <u>Purpose</u>. The FRAB will validate that Templates have been applied to indirect funded Scopes of Work (SOW) for all contracts with applicability to government-owned, contractor-operated facilities under the Industrial Operations Command (IOC). The FRAB will also validate that indirect funded SOWs are integrated and performance-based and ensure that the SOW uses performance

specifications. Direct funded SOWs will be the responsibility of the proponent funding the effort and are excluded from FRAB review.

# 2. Policy.

- a. The FRAB is a group chaired by the Deputy Chief of Staff (DCS) for Industrial Readiness (DCSIR) when the scopes of work (SOW) are applicable to inactive facilities and the Executive Director of Industrial Operations for active facilities. will review all contract documentation prior to submission to the Procuring Contracting Officer. The board assures that the requirements in the SOW are needed, only value-added requirements are applied, adheres to the contract procurement Functional Support Template quidelines, is tailored for each plant as appropriate, and is in the Government's best interest. The board will point out areas in the SOW or clauses of the contract needing improvements or that are questionable in nature and/or value. The FRAB recognizes that the ACO/COR are critical ad hoc members of the board. It is further recognized that during the process of review and negotiation, SOWs may be changed. The Procuring Contracting Officer has the authority, as agent of the Government, to make changes and finalize negotiations with the contractor, with the concurrence of the functional element and FRAB chair. Nonconcurrences will be resolved at the DCS level. Scopes of work will not be provided to the contractor for his proposal without FRAB concurrence with the contents.
- b. The SOWs or contract clauses reviewed by the FRAB that do not pass the review identified in 2a above will be returned to the proponent for modification.
- c. The chairman will make all final decisions, if consensus cannot be achieved within the FRAB.

# 3. Responsibilities of the FRAB Members.

#### a. Chairperson:

- (1) Personally chairs the FRAB in the review of all documentation prior to submission to Procuring Contracting Officer.
- (2) Has signature approval of the minutes of the FRAB meeting.

IOC Memorandum 700-1

- (3) Personally reviews/approves clauses in the contract, not a part of a particular SOW, for compliance with the Army Implementation Plan.
- (4) Provides to the Procuring Contracting Officer a signed copy of the minutes from the FRAB meeting which either accepts or rejects proponents' SOWs.

#### b. Members:

- (1) Review various SOWs to insure they are performance based and in best interest of the Government.
- (2) Make recommendations to proponents of SOWs to correct nonperformance based requirements or non-value added requirements.
  - (3) Vote to accept or reject proponent SOWs.
  - c. Data Management Engineering Directorate:
- (1) Serves as secretary for the FRAB and performs all notification functions.

### 4. Membership of the FRAB.

- a. Chairperson
- b. DCS of each proponent activity or their designee
- c. Command general counsel or designee
- d. PCO or representative
- e. Data Management Engineering Directorate-ARDEC

## 5. References.

- a. Process Action Team Department of Defense Military Specifications and Standards, Blueprint for Change, April 1994
  - b. Army Implementation Plan (AIP), 23 November 1994